



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

PREPARED BY:

**THE RILEY GROUP, INC.
17522 BOTHELL WAY NORTHEAST
BOTHELL, WASHINGTON 98011**

PREPARED FOR:

**SMARTCAP CRE VALUE FUND 3, LLC
8201 164TH AVENUE NORTHEAST, SUITE 105
REDMOND, WASHINGTON 98052**

RGI PROJECT NO. 2021-574-2

**ARLINGTON AIRPORT BUSINESS PARK DEVELOPMENT II
51ST AVENUE NORTHEAST AND 43RD AVENUE NORTHEAST
ARLINGTON, WASHINGTON**

OCTOBER 8, 2021



October 8, 2021

Mr. Robert Shipley
SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC
8201 164th Avenue Northeast, Suite 105
Redmond, Washington 98052

**Subject: Geotechnical Engineering Report
Arlington Airport Business Park Development II
51st Avenue Northeast and 43rd Avenue Northeast
Arlington, Washington
RGI Project No. 2021-574-2**

Dear Mr. Shipley:

As requested, The Riley Group, Inc. (RGI) has performed a Geotechnical Engineering Report (GER) for the Arlington Airport Business Park Development II located at 51st Avenue Northeast and 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington. Our services were completed in accordance with our proposal dated August 14, 2021 and authorized by you on September 7, 2021. The information in this GER is based on our understanding of the proposed construction, and the soil and groundwater conditions encountered in the test pits and borings completed by RGI at the site on September 16, 17, 23, and 24, 2021.

RGI recommends that you submit the project plans and specifications to RGI for a general review so that we may confirm that the recommendations in this GER are interpreted and implemented properly in the construction documents. RGI also recommends that a representative of our firm be present on site during portions of the project construction to confirm that the soil and groundwater conditions are consistent with those that form the basis for the engineering recommendations in this GER.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

THE RILEY GROUP, INC.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Eric L. Woods", with the date "10/8/2021" written below it in blue ink.

Eric L. Woods, LG
Project Geologist



Kristina M. Weller, PE
Principal Geotechnical Engineer

Corporate Office
17522 Bothell Way Northeast
Bothell, Washington 98011
Phone 425.415.0551 ♦ Fax 425.415.0311

www.riley-group.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING.....	1
3.1	FIELD EXPLORATION	1
3.2	LABORATORY TESTING	2
4.0	SITE CONDITIONS.....	2
4.1	SURFACE	2
4.2	GEOLOGY	2
4.3	SOILS.....	2
4.4	GROUNDWATER	3
4.5	SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS	3
4.6	GEOLOGIC HAZARD AREAS	4
5.0	DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	4
5.1	GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS	4
5.2	EARTHWORK.....	5
5.2.1	Erosion and Sediment Control	5
5.2.2	Stripping.....	6
5.2.3	Excavations.....	6
5.2.4	Site Preparation	7
5.2.5	Structural Fill	7
5.2.6	Cut and Fill Slopes.....	9
5.2.7	Wet Weather Construction Considerations.....	9
5.3	FOUNDATIONS	10
5.4	RETAINING WALLS	11
5.5	SLAB-ON-GRADE CONSTRUCTION	11
5.6	DRAINAGE.....	12
5.6.1	Surface	12
5.6.2	Subsurface.....	12
5.6.3	Infiltration	12
5.7	UTILITIES.....	13
5.8	PAVEMENTS.....	13
6.0	ADDITIONAL SERVICES.....	14
7.0	LIMITATIONS.....	14

LIST OF FIGURES AND APPENDICES

Figure 1	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Geotechnical Exploration Plan
Figure 3	Retaining Wall Drainage Detail
Figure 4	Typical Footing Drain Detail
Appendix A.....	Field Exploration and Laboratory Testing

Executive Summary

This Executive Summary should be used in conjunction with the entire Geotechnical Engineering Report (GER) for design and/or construction purposes. It should be recognized that specific details were not included or fully developed in this section, and the GER must be read in its entirety for a comprehensive understanding of the items contained herein. Section 7.0 should be read for an understanding of limitations.

RGI's geotechnical scope of work included the advancement of 17 test pits and 4 borings to approximate depths of 4 to 51.5 feet below existing site grades.

Based on the information obtained from our subsurface exploration, the site is suitable for development of the proposed project. The following geotechnical considerations were identified:

Soil Conditions: The soils encountered during field exploration include loose surficial soils comprised of silty sand over native deposits of medium dense to very dense sand with trace to some silt and varying amounts of gravel, and sandy gravel with trace silt.

Groundwater: A groundwater table was encountered at depths of 8.5 to 11.5 feet during our subsurface exploration.

Foundations: Foundations for the proposed building may be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on medium dense native soil or structural fill

Slab-on-grade: Slab-on-grade floors and slabs for the proposed building can be supported on medium dense native soil or structural fill.

Pavements: The following pavement sections are recommended:

- **For asphalt pavement areas:** 3 inches of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) over 6 inches of crushed rock base (CRB)
- **For concrete pavement areas:** 5 inches of concrete over 4 inches of CRB

1.0 Introduction

This Geotechnical Engineering Report (GER) presents the results of the geotechnical engineering services provided for the Arlington Airport Business Park Development II in Arlington, Washington. The purpose of this evaluation is to assess subsurface conditions and provide geotechnical recommendations for the construction of several large commercial buildings and associated parking and drive aisles. Our scope of services included field explorations, laboratory testing, engineering analyses, and preparation of this GER.

The recommendations in the following sections of this GER are based upon our current understanding of the proposed site development as outlined below. If actual features vary or changes are made, RGI should review them in order to modify our recommendations as required. In addition, RGI requests to review the site grading plan, final design drawings and specifications when available to verify that our project understanding is correct and that our recommendations have been properly interpreted and incorporated into the project design and construction.

2.0 Project description

The project site is located at 51st Avenue Northeast and 43rd Avenue Northeast in Arlington, Washington. The approximate location of the site is shown on Figure 1.

The site is currently undeveloped. RGI understands that four large commercial buildings with associated parking and drive aisles, and infiltration facilities will be constructed at the site.

At the time of preparing this GER, building plans were not available for our review. Based on our experience with similar construction, RGI anticipates that the proposed buildings will be supported on perimeter walls with bearing loads of six to eight kips per linear foot, and a series of columns with a maximum load up to 300 kips. Slab-on-grade floor loading of 250 pounds per square foot (psf) are expected.

3.0 Field Exploration and Laboratory Testing

3.1 FIELD EXPLORATION

On September 16, 17, 23, and 24, RGI observed the excavation of 17 test pits and drilling of 4 borings. The approximate exploration locations are shown on Figure 2.

Field logs of each exploration were prepared by the geologist that continuously observed the excavation or drilling. These logs included visual classifications of the materials encountered during excavation/drilling as well as our interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. The test pit and boring logs included in Appendix A represent

an interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on laboratory observation and analysis of the samples.

3.2 LABORATORY TESTING

During the field exploration, a representative portion of each recovered sample was sealed in containers and transported to our laboratory for further visual and laboratory examination. Selected samples retrieved from the test pits and borings were tested for moisture content and grain size analysis to aid in soil classification and provide input for the recommendations provided in this GER. The results and descriptions of the laboratory tests are enclosed in Appendix A.

4.0 Site Conditions

4.1 SURFACE

The subject site is an irregular-shaped parcel of land approximately 31 acres in size. The site is bound to the north by undeveloped forest, to the northeast by 51st Avenue Northeast, to the east by undeveloped forest, to the south by undeveloped forest and a commercial development, and to the west by 43rd Avenue Northeast.

The existing site is vacant land covered by trees and other vegetation. The site is relatively flat with an overall elevation difference of less than 10 feet.

4.2 GEOLOGY

Review of the *Geologic Map of the Arlington West 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, Snohomish County, Washington*, by James P. Minard (1985) indicates that the soil in the project vicinity is mapped as Marysville Sand Member (Map Unit Qvrm), which is stratified to massive outwash sand with some gravel and localized silt and clay, deposited by meltwater streams issuing from the retreating Vashon glacier. These descriptions are generally similar to the findings in our field explorations.

4.3 SOILS

The soils encountered during field exploration include loose surficial soils comprised of silty sand over native deposits of medium dense to very dense sand with trace to some silt and varying amounts of gravel, and sandy gravel with trace silt.

More detailed descriptions of the subsurface conditions encountered are presented in the test pits and borings included in Appendix A. Sieve analysis was performed on ten selected soil samples. Grain size distribution curves are included in Appendix A.

4.4 GROUNDWATER

A groundwater table was encountered at depths of 8.5 to 11.5 feet during our subsurface exploration. The water level was measured in the wells at 10.5 to 11.3 feet below grade.

It should be recognized that fluctuations of the groundwater table will occur due to seasonal variations in the amount of rainfall, runoff, and other factors not evident at the time the explorations were performed. In addition, perched water can develop within seams and layers contained in fill soils or higher permeability soils overlying less permeable soils following periods of heavy or prolonged precipitation.

4.5 SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

Based on the International Building Code (IBC), RGI recommends the follow seismic parameters for design.

Table 1 IBC

Parameter	2018 Value
Site Soil Class ¹	D ²
Site Latitude	48.1560
Site Longitude	-122.1676
Short Period Spectral Response Acceleration, S_s (g)	1.061
1-Second Period Spectral Response Acceleration, S_1 (g)	0.379
Adjusted Short Period Spectral Response Acceleration, S_{MS} (g)	1.141
Adjusted 1-Sec Period Spectral Response Acceleration, S_{M1} (g)	0.728
Numeric seismic design value at 0.2 second; S_{DS} (g)	0.761
Numeric seismic design value at 1.0 second; S_{D1} (g)	0.485

1. Note: In general accordance with Chapter 20 of ASCE 7-16, the Site Class is based on the average characteristics of the upper 100 feet of the subsurface profile.

2. Note: ASCE 7-16 require a site soil profile determination extending to a depth of 100 feet for seismic site classification. The current scope of our services does not include the required 100 foot soil profile determination. Test pits and borings extended to a maximum depth of 51.5 feet, and this seismic site class definition considers that very dense soil continues below the maximum depth of the subsurface exploration. Additional exploration to deeper depths would be required to confirm the conditions below the current depth of exploration. The proposed buildings should qualify for the exemption for the site specific seismic study as the building periods are expected to be less than 0.5 seconds.

3. Note: In accordance with ASCE 11.4.8, a ground motion hazard analysis is not required for the following cases:

- Structures on Site Class E sites with S_s greater than or equal to 1.0, provided the site coefficient F_a is taken as equal to that of Site Class C.
- Structures on Site Class D sites with S_1 greater than or equal to 0.2, provided that the value of the seismic response coefficient C_s is determined by Eq. 12.8-2 for values of $T \leq 1.5T_s$ and taken as equal to 1.5 times the value computed in accordance with either Eq. 12.8-3 for $T_L \geq T > 1.5T_s$ or Eq. 12.8-4 for $T > T_L$.
- Structures on Site Class E sites with S_1 greater than or equal to 0.2, provided that T is less than or equal to T_s and the equivalent static force procedure is used for design.

The above exceptions do not apply to seismically isolated structures, structures with damping systems or structures designed using the response history procedures of Chapter 16.

Liquefaction is a phenomenon where there is a reduction or complete loss of soil strength due to an increase in water pressure induced by vibrations from a seismic event. Liquefaction mainly affects geologically recent deposits of fine-grained sands that are below the groundwater table. Soils of this nature derive their strength from intergranular friction. The generated water pressure or pore pressure essentially separates the soil grains and eliminates this intergranular friction, thus reducing or eliminating the soil's strength.

For liquefaction analysis, soil information was obtained from boring B-1. The groundwater was assumed to be 10 feet. Analysis indicates the native soil below the groundwater table will liquefy under severe earthquake ground motions (Magnitude 7 and horizontal acceleration 0.45g, with settlement in the range of 6 to 7 inches. The subsurface conditions are relatively consistent, therefore; the differential settlements should not exceed 3 inches in 100 feet. The analysis is attached in Appendix B.

Concrete tilt-up structures supported on continuous exterior foundations underlain by medium dense native soils or structural fill as recommended, typically perform relatively well in liquefaction settlement areas. We do not expect the settlements will result in a life safety issue for the structure, however damage and repairs should be anticipated if the total settlements predicted occur in the seismic event.

4.6 GEOLOGIC HAZARD AREAS

Regulated geologically hazardous areas include erosion, landslide, earthquake, wetland, or other geological hazards. Based on the Arlington Municipal Code, the site meets the criteria of a Seismic Hazard Area. Review of the *Liquefaction Susceptibility Map of Snohomish County, Washington* by Stephan P. Palmer, etc., (2004) indicates the soils in the area are mapped as having a low to moderate liquefaction susceptibility during a seismic event.

5.0 Discussion and Recommendations

5.1 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Based on our study, the site is suitable for the proposed construction from a geotechnical standpoint. Foundations for the proposed building can be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on medium dense native soil or structural fill. Slab-on-grade floors and pavements can be similarly supported.

Detailed recommendations regarding the above issues and other geotechnical design considerations are provided in the following sections. These recommendations should be incorporated into the final design drawings and construction specifications.

5.2 EARTHWORK

Earthwork will include grading the site, excavating infiltration facilities, installing underground utilities, excavating and backfilling the building foundations, and preparing slab and pavement sugrades.

5.2.1 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Potential sources or causes of erosion and sedimentation depend on construction methods, slope length and gradient, amount of soil exposed and/or disturbed, soil type, construction sequencing and weather. The impacts on erosion-prone areas can be reduced by implementing an erosion and sedimentation control plan. The plan should be designed in accordance with applicable city and/or county standards.

RGI recommends the following erosion control Best Management Practices (BMPs):

- Scheduling site preparation and grading for the drier summer and early fall months and undertaking activities that expose soil during periods of little or no rainfall
- Retaining existing vegetation whenever feasible
- Establishing a quarry spall construction entrance
- Installing siltation control fencing or anchored straw or coir wattles on the downhill side of work areas
- Covering soil stockpiles with anchored plastic sheeting
- Revegetating or mulching exposed soils with a minimum 3-inch thickness of straw if surfaces will be left undisturbed for more than one day during wet weather or one week in dry weather
- Directing runoff away from exposed soils and slopes
- Minimizing the length and steepness of slopes with exposed soils and cover excavation surfaces with anchored plastic sheeting (Graded and disturbed slopes should be tracked in place with the equipment running perpendicular to the slope contours so that the track marks provide a texture to help resist erosion and channeling. Some sloughing and raveling of slopes with exposed or disturbed soil should be expected.)
- Decreasing runoff velocities with check dams, straw bales or coir wattles
- Confining sediment to the project site
- Inspecting and maintaining erosion and sediment control measures frequently (The contractor should be aware that inspection and maintenance of erosion control BMPs is critical toward their satisfactory performance. Repair and/or replacement of dysfunctional erosion control elements should be anticipated.)

Permanent erosion protection should be provided by reestablishing vegetation using hydroseeding and/or landscape planting. Until the permanent erosion protection is

established, site monitoring should be performed by qualified personnel to evaluate the effectiveness of the erosion control measures. Provisions for modifications to the erosion control system based on monitoring observations should be included in the erosion and sedimentation control plan.

5.2.2 STRIPPING

Stripping efforts should include removal of pavements, vegetation, organic materials, and deleterious debris from areas slated for building, pavement, and utility construction. The test pits and borings encountered 6 to 12 inches of topsoil and rootmass. Deeper areas of stripping may be required in forested or heavily vegetated areas of the site.

5.2.3 EXCAVATIONS

All temporary cut slopes associated with the site and utility excavations should be adequately inclined to prevent sloughing and collapse. The site soils consist of loose to medium dense silty sand and sand with trace to some silt and varying amounts of gravel.

Accordingly, for excavations more than 4 feet but less than 20 feet in depth, the temporary side slopes should be laid back with a minimum slope inclination of 1.5H:1V (Horizontal:Vertical). If there is insufficient room to complete the excavations in this manner, or excavations greater than 20 feet in depth are planned, using temporary shoring to support the excavations should be considered. For open cuts at the site, RGI recommends:

- No traffic, construction equipment, stockpiles or building supplies are allowed at the top of cut slopes within a distance of at least five feet from the top of the cut
- Exposed soil along the slope is protected from surface erosion using waterproof tarps and/or plastic sheeting
- Construction activities are scheduled so that the length of time the temporary cut is left open is minimized
- Surface water is diverted away from the excavation
- The general condition of slopes should be observed periodically by a geotechnical engineer to confirm adequate stability and erosion control measures

In all cases, however, appropriate inclinations will depend on the actual soil and groundwater conditions encountered during earthwork. Ultimately, the site contractor must be responsible for maintaining safe excavation slopes that comply with applicable OSHA or WISHA guidelines.

5.2.4 SITE PREPARATION

Proofrolling and subgrade verification should be considered an essential step in site preparation. After stripping, grubbing, and prior to placement of structural fill, RGI recommends proofrolling building and pavement subgrades and areas to receive structural fill. These areas should be moisture conditioned and compacted to a firm and unyielding condition in order to achieve a minimum compaction level of 95 percent of the modified proctor maximum dry density as determined by the American Society of Testing and Materials D1557-09 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort (ASTM D1557).

Proofrolling and adequate subgrade compaction can only be achieved when the soils are within approximately ± 2 percent moisture content of the optimum moisture content. Soils which appear firm after stripping and grubbing may be proofrolled with a heavy compactor, loaded double-axle dump truck, or other heavy equipment under the observation of an RGI representative. This observer will assess the subgrade conditions prior to filling. The need for or advisability of proofrolling due to soil moisture conditions should be determined at the time of construction. In wet areas it may be necessary to hand probe the exposed subgrades in lieu of proofrolling with mechanical equipment.

Subgrade soils that become disturbed due to elevated moisture conditions should be overexcavated to reveal firm, non-yielding, non-organic soils and backfilled with compacted structural fill. In order to maximize utilization of site soils as structural fill, RGI recommends that the earthwork portion of this project be completed during extended periods of warm and dry weather if possible. If earthwork is completed during the wet season (typically November through May) it will be necessary to take extra precautionary measures to protect subgrade soils. Wet season earthwork will require additional mitigative measures beyond that which would be expected during the drier summer and fall months.

5.2.5 STRUCTURAL FILL

Once stripping, clearing and other preparing operations are complete, cuts and fills can be made to establish desired building grades. Prior to placing fill, RGI recommends proofrolling as described above.

RGI recommends fill below the foundation and floor slab, behind retaining walls, and below pavement and hardscape surfaces be placed in accordance with the following recommendations for structural fill. The structural fill should be placed after completion of site preparation procedures as described above.

The suitability of excavated site soils and import soils for compacted structural fill use will depend on the gradation and moisture content of the soil when it is placed. As the amount of fines (that portion passing the U.S. No. 200 sieve) increases, soil becomes increasingly

sensitive to small changes in moisture content and adequate compaction becomes more difficult or impossible to achieve. Soils containing more than about 5 percent fines cannot be consistently compacted to a dense, non-yielding condition when the moisture content is more than 2 percent above or below optimum. Optimum moisture content is that moisture that results in the greatest compacted dry density with a specified compactive effort.

Non-organic site soils are only considered suitable for structural fill provided that their moisture content is within about two percent of the optimum moisture level as determined by ASTM D1557. Excavated site soils may not be suitable for re-use as structural fill depending on the moisture content and weather conditions at the time of construction. If soils are stockpiled for future reuse and wet weather is anticipated, the stockpile should be protected with plastic sheeting that is securely anchored.

Even during dry weather, moisture conditioning (such as, windrowing and drying) of site soils to be reused as structural fill may be required. Even during the summer, delays in grading can occur due to excessively high moisture conditions of the soils or due to precipitation. If wet weather occurs, the upper wetted portion of the site soils may need to be scarified and allowed to dry prior to further earthwork, or may need to be wasted from the site.

If on-site soils are or become unusable, it may become necessary to import clean, granular soils to complete site work that meet the grading requirements listed in Table 2 to be used as structural fill.

Table 2 Structural Fill Gradation

U.S. Sieve Size	Percent Passing
4 inches	100
No. 4 sieve	22 to 100
No. 200 sieve	0 to 5*

*Based on minus 3/4 inch fraction.

Prior to use, an RGI representative should observe and test all materials imported to the site for use as structural fill. Structural fill materials should be placed in uniform loose layers not exceeding 12 inches and compacted as specified in Table 3. The soil's maximum density and optimum moisture should be determined by ASTM D1557.

Table 3 Structural Fill Compaction ASTM D1557

Location	Material Type	Minimum Compaction Percentage	Moisture Content Range	
Foundations	On-site granular or approved imported fill soils:	95	+2	-2
Retaining Wall Backfill	On-site granular or approved imported fill soils:	92	+2	-2
Slab-on-grade	On-site granular or approved imported fill soils:	95	+2	-2
General Fill (non-structural areas)	On-site soils or approved imported fill soils:	90	+3	-2
Pavement – Subgrade and Base Course	On-site granular or approved imported fill soils:	95	+2	-2

Placement and compaction of structural fill should be observed by RGI. A representative number of in-place density tests should be performed as the fill is being placed to confirm that the recommended level of compaction is achieved.

5.2.6 CUT AND FILL SLOPES

All permanent cut and fill slopes should be graded with a finished inclination no greater than 2H:1V. Upon completion of construction, the slope face should be trackwalked, compacted and vegetated, or provided with other physical means to guard against erosion. All fill placed for slope construction should meet the structural fill requirements as described in Section 5.2.5.

Final grades at the top of the slopes must promote surface drainage away from the slope crest. Water must not be allowed to flow in an uncontrolled fashion over the slope face. If it is necessary to direct surface runoff towards the slope, it should be controlled at the top of the slope, piped in a closed conduit installed on the slope face, and taken to an appropriate point of discharge beyond the toe of the slope.

5.2.7 WET WEATHER CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

RGI recommends that preparation for site grading and construction include procedures intended to drain ponded water, control surface water runoff, and to collect shallow subsurface seepage zones in excavations where encountered. It will not be possible to successfully compact the subgrade or utilize on-site soils as structural fill if accumulated water is not drained prior to grading or if drainage is not controlled during construction. Attempting to grade the site without adequate drainage control measures will reduce the amount of on-site soil effectively available for use, increase the amount of select import fill materials required, and ultimately increase the cost of the earthwork phases of the project.



Free water should not be allowed to pond on the subgrade soils. RGI anticipates that the use of berms and shallow drainage ditches, with sumps and pumps in utility trenches, will be required for surface water control during wet weather and/or wet site conditions.

5.3 FOUNDATIONS

Following site preparation and grading, the proposed building foundation can be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on dense native soil or structural fill. Loose, organic, or other unsuitable soils may be encountered in the proposed building footprint. If unsuitable soils are encountered, they should be overexcavated and backfilled with structural fill.

Perimeter foundations exposed to weather should be at a minimum depth of 18 inches below final exterior grades. Interior foundations can be constructed at any convenient depth below the floor slab. Finished grade is defined as the lowest adjacent grade within 5 feet of the foundation for perimeter (or exterior) footings and finished floor level for interior footings.

Table 4 Foundation Design

Design Parameter	Value
Allowable Bearing Capacity	2,500 psf ¹
Friction Coefficient	0.30
Passive pressure (equivalent fluid pressure)	250 pcf ²
Minimum foundation dimensions	Columns: 24 inches Walls: 16 inches

1. psf = pounds per square foot

2. pcf = pounds per cubic foot

The allowable foundation bearing pressures apply to dead loads plus design live load conditions. For short-term loads, such as wind and seismic, a 1/3 increase in this allowable capacity may be used. At perimeter locations, RGI recommends not including the upper 12 inches of soil in the computation of passive pressures because they can be affected by weather or disturbed by future grading activity. The passive pressure value assumes the foundation will be constructed neat against competent soil or backfilled with structural fill as described in Section 5.2.5. The recommended base friction and passive resistance value includes a safety factor of about 1.5.

With spread footing foundations designed in accordance with the recommendations in this section, maximum total and differential post-construction settlements of 1 inch and 1/2 inch, respectively, should be expected.

5.4 RETAINING WALLS

If retaining walls are needed in the building area, RGI recommends cast-in-place concrete walls be used. The magnitude of earth pressure development on retaining walls will partly depend on the quality of the wall backfill. RGI recommends placing and compacting wall backfill as structural fill. Wall drainage will be needed behind the wall face. A typical retaining wall drainage detail is shown in Figure 3.

With wall backfill placed and compacted as recommended, and drainage properly installed, RGI recommends using the values in the following table for design.

Table 5 Retaining Wall Design

Design Parameter	Value
Allowable Bearing Capacity	2,500 psf
Active Earth Pressure (unrestrained walls)	35 pcf
At-rest Earth Pressure (restrained walls)	50 pcf

For seismic design, an additional uniform load of 7 times the wall height (H) for unrestrained walls and 14H in psf for restrained walls should be applied to the wall surface.

Friction at the base of foundations and passive earth pressure will provide resistance to these lateral loads. Values for these parameters are provided in Section 5.3.

5.5 SLAB-ON-GRADE CONSTRUCTION

Once site preparation has been completed as described in Section 5.2, suitable support for slab-on-grade construction should be provided. RGI recommends that the concrete slab be placed on top of medium dense native soil or structural fill. Immediately below the floor slab, RGI recommends placing a four-inch thick capillary break layer of clean, free-draining sand or gravel that has less than five percent passing the U.S. No. 200 sieve. This material will reduce the potential for upward capillary movement of water through the underlying soil and subsequent wetting of the floor slab.

Where moisture by vapor transmission is undesirable, an 8- to 10-millimeter thick plastic membrane should be placed on a 4-inch thick layer of clean gravel.

For the anticipated floor slab loading, we estimate post-construction floor settlements of 1/4- to 1/2-inch. For thickness design of the slab subjected to point loading from storage racks and fork lift vehicle traffic, RGI recommends using a subgrade modulus (K_s) of 150 pounds per square inch per inch of deflection.

5.6 DRAINAGE

5.6.1 SURFACE

Final exterior grades should promote free and positive drainage away from the building area. Water must not be allowed to pond or collect adjacent to foundations or within the immediate building area. For non-pavement locations, RGI recommends providing a minimum drainage gradient of 3 percent for a minimum distance of 10 feet from the building perimeter. In paved locations, a minimum gradient of 1 percent should be provided unless provisions are included for collection and disposal of surface water adjacent to the structure.

5.6.2 SUBSURFACE

RGI recommends installing perimeter foundation drains. A typical footing drain detail is shown on Figure 4. The foundation drains and roof downspouts should be tightlined separately to an approved discharge facility. Subsurface drains must be laid with a gradient sufficient to promote positive flow to a controlled point of approved discharge.

5.6.3 INFILTRATION

RGI understands that stormwater infiltration is proposed as part of site development. Two small-scale Pilot Infiltration Tests (PIT) were conducted at the site. Infiltration tests INF-1 and INF-2 were completed following the small PIT test method as presented in the 2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SMMWW).

Table 6 Measured Infiltration Rates

Test Location	Test Depth	Measured Rate	Design Rate
INF-1	4	158	71
INF-2	4	92	41

Correction factors per the manual were applied to the field measured rate as described below:

$$K_{sat_{design}} = K_{sat_{initial}} = CF_V \times CF_T \times CF_M$$

CF_V = Site Variability

CF_T = Test Method

CF_M = Degree of Influent Control

$$K_{sat_{design}} = K_{sat_{initial}} = 1 \times 0.5 \times 0.9 = 0.45$$

$$\text{INF-1: } K_{sat_{design}} = K_{sat_{initial}} (158) \times 0.45 = 71 \text{ inches/hour}$$

$$\text{INF-2: } K_{sat_{design}} = K_{sat_{initial}} (92) \times 0.45 = 41 \text{ inches/hour}$$

RGI recommends a long-term design rate of 20 inches/hour.

5.7 UTILITIES

Utility pipes should be bedded and backfilled in accordance with American Public Works Association (APWA) specifications. For site utilities located within the right-of-ways, bedding and backfill should be completed in accordance with City of Arlington specifications. At a minimum, trench backfill should be placed and compacted as structural fill, as described in Section 5.2.5. Where utilities occur below unimproved areas, the degree of compaction can be reduced to a minimum of 90 percent of the soil's maximum density as determined by the referenced ASTM D1557. As noted, soils excavated on site should be suitable for use as backfill material. If on-site soils are or become unusable, imported structural fill meeting the gradation provided in Table 2 should be used for trench backfill.

5.8 PAVEMENTS

Pavement subgrades should be prepared as described in Section 5.2 and as discussed below. Regardless of the relative compaction achieved, the subgrade must be firm and relatively unyielding before paving. The subgrade should be proof-rolled with heavy construction equipment to verify this condition.

5.8.1 FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS

With the pavement subgrade prepared as described above, RGI recommends the following pavement sections for parking and drive areas paved with flexible asphalt concrete surfacing.

- **For asphalt pavement areas:** 3 inches of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) over 6 inches of crushed rock base (CRB)

5.8.2 CONCRETE PAVEMENTS

With the pavement subgrade prepared as described above, RGI recommends the following pavement sections for parking and drive areas paved with concrete surfacing.

- **For concrete pavement areas:** 5 inches of concrete over 4 inches of CRB

The paving materials used should conform to the WSDOT specifications for HMA, concrete paving, and CRB surfacing (9-03.9(3) Crushed Surfacing).

Long-term pavement performance will depend on surface drainage. A poorly-drained pavement section will be subject to premature failure as a result of surface water infiltrating into the subgrade soils and reducing their supporting capability.

For optimum pavement performance, surface drainage gradients of no less than 2 percent are recommended. Also, some degree of longitudinal and transverse cracking of the pavement surface should be expected over time. Regular maintenance should be planned to seal cracks when they occur.

6.0 Additional Services

RGI is available to provide further geotechnical consultation throughout the design phase of the project. RGI should review the final design and specifications in order to verify that earthwork and foundation recommendations have been properly interpreted and incorporated into project design and construction.

RGI is also available to provide geotechnical engineering and construction monitoring services during construction. The integrity of the earthwork and construction depends on proper site preparation and procedures. In addition, engineering decisions may arise in the field in the event that variations in subsurface conditions become apparent. Construction monitoring services are not part of this scope of work. If these services are desired, please let us know and we will prepare a cost proposal.


7.0 Limitations

This GER is the property of RGI, SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC, and its designated agents. Within the limits of the scope and budget, this GER was prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices in the area at the time this GER was issued. This GER is intended for specific application to the Arlington Airport Business Park Development II project in Arlington, Washington, and for the exclusive use of SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC and its authorized representatives. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. Site safety, excavation support, and dewatering requirements are the responsibility of others.

The scope of services for this project does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (for example, mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, we can provide a proposal for these services.

The analyses and recommendations presented in this GER are based upon data obtained from the explorations performed on site. Variations in soil conditions can occur, the nature and extent of which may not become evident until construction. If variations appear evident, RGI should be requested to reevaluate the recommendations in this GER prior to proceeding with construction.

It is the client's responsibility to see that all parties to the project, including the designers, contractors, subcontractors, are made aware of this GER in its entirety. The use of information contained in this GER for bidding purposes should be done at the contractor's option and risk.



USGS, 2020, Arlington West, Washington
7.5-Minute Quadrangle

Approximate Scale: 1"=1000'



Corporate Office
17522 Bothell Way Northeast
Bothell, Washington 98011
Phone: 425.415.0551
Fax: 425.415.0311

Proposed Arlington Airport Business Park Development II


RGI Project Number:
2021-574-2

Site Vicinity Map

Figure 1

Date Drawn:
10/2021

Address: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington 98223




-  = Monitoring well by RGI, 09/23/21 & 09/24/21
-  = Boring by RGI, 09/23/21
-  = Infiltration test pit by RGI, 09/16/21 & 09/17/21
-  = Test pit by RGI, 09/16/21
-  = Site boundary

Approximate Scale: 1"=200'



 **RILEYGROUP**
 Corporate Office
 17522 Bothell Way Northeast
 Bothell, Washington 98011
 Phone: 425.415.0551
 Fax: 425.415.0311

Proposed Arlington Airport Business Park Development II		Figure 2
RGI Project Number: 2021-574-2	Geotechnical Exploration Plan	Date Drawn: 10/2021
Address: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington 98223		



Not to Scale



Corporate Office
 17522 Bothell Way Northeast
 Bothell, Washington 98011
 Phone: 425.415.0551
 Fax: 425.415.0311

Proposed Arlington Airport Business Park Development II


RGI Project Number:
 2021-574-2

Retaining Wall Drainage Detail

Figure 3

Date Drawn:
 10/2021

Address: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington 98223



Not to Scale



Corporate Office
 17522 Bothell Way Northeast
 Bothell, Washington 98011
 Phone: 425.415.0551
 Fax: 425.415.0311

Proposed Arlington Airport Business Park Development II

RGI Project Number:
 2021-574-2

Typical Footing Drain Detail

Figure 4

Date Drawn:
 10/2021

Address: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington 98223

APPENDIX A

FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

On September 16, 17, 23, and 24, RGI performed field explorations using an excavator and drill rig. We explored subsurface soil conditions at the site by observing the excavation/drilling of 17 test pits and 4 borings to a maximum depth of 51.5 feet below existing grade. The test pit and boring locations are shown on Figure 2. The test pit and boring locations were approximately determined by measurements from existing property lines and paved roads.

A geologist/engineer from our office conducted the field exploration and classified the soil conditions encountered, maintained a log of each test exploration, obtained representative soil samples, and observed pertinent site features. All soil samples were visually classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

Representative soil samples obtained from the explorations were placed in closed containers and taken to our laboratory for further examination and testing. As a part of the laboratory testing program, the soil samples were classified in our in house laboratory based on visual observation, texture, plasticity, and the limited laboratory testing described below.

Moisture Content Determinations

Moisture content determinations were performed in accordance with ASTM D2216-10 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass (ASTM D2216) on representative samples obtained from the exploration in order to aid in identification and correlation of soil types. The moisture content of typical sample was measured and is reported on the test pit and boring logs.

Grain Size Analysis


A grain size analysis indicates the range in diameter of soil particles included in a particular sample. Grain size analyses was determined using D6913-04(2009) Standard Test Methods for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Soils Using Sieve Analysis (ASTM D6913) on ten of the samples.

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-1**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 12.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10'	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	



Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-2**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 7 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	


Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		12" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	6% moisture
				SP		Gray SAND with some gravel and trace silt, medium dense, moist	3% moisture
5							
							1% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 7'	
10							

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-3**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 12.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 11'	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	



Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-5**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 10 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 9.5'	Sampling Method(s) Grab	Compaction Method Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		8" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				SP		Gray gravelly SAND with trace silt, medium dense, moist	
							2% moisture
				SP		Gray SAND with some gravel and trace silt, medium dense, moist	
						Becomes water bearing	
							7% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 10'	

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-6**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 8.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		8" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				GP		Gray sandy GRAVEL, medium dense, moist	2% moisture, 1% fines
5							
							4% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 8.5'	
10							

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**

Project Number: **2021-574-2**

Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-7**

Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 10.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10'	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		10" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	7% moisture
				SP		Gray SAND with trace gravel, medium dense, moist	2% moisture, 1% fines
				SP		Gray gravelly SAND with trace silt, medium dense, moist	2% moisture, 2% fines
						Becomes water bearing	11% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 10.5'	

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-8**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 9 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		10" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	7% moisture
				SP		Gray SAND with trace silt and gravel, medium dense, moist	2% moisture
5							
							3% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 9'	
10							

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-9**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 9 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 8.5	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		8" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				SP		Gray SAND with some gravel and trace silt, medium dense, moist	
	5						2% moisture
						Becomes water bearing	
							14% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 9'	
	10						

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-10**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 8 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	


Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		6" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				SP-SM		Gray SAND with some silt, medium dense, moist	3% moisture
				SP		Gray SAND with some gravel and trace silt, medium dense, moist	4% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 8'	

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-11**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush, Scotch Broom
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 11.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10'	Sampling Method(s) Grab	Compaction Method Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	



Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-12**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 9 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	


Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		8" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				SP-SM		Gray SAND with some silt, medium dense, moist	4% moisture
				SP		Gray gravelly SAND with trace silt, medium dense, moist	4% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 9'	

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-13**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 12 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10'	Sampling Method(s) Grab	Compaction Method Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	



Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-14**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 10.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 9.5'	Sampling Method(s) Grab	Compaction Method Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		12" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	7% moisture
				SP		Gray SAND with trace silt and gravel, medium dense, moist	2% moisture 3% moisture
5				SP-SM		Gray SAND with some silt, medium dense, moist	7% moisture
				SP-SM		Gray SAND with some silt and gravel, medium dense water bearing Iron oxide staining	8% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 10.5'	

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **TP-15**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 7 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Grab	Compaction Method: Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	


Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
0				TPSL		12" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				SP-SM		Gray SAND with some silt and trace gravel, medium dense, moist	2% moisture
	5						2% moisture
						Test Pit terminated at 7'	
	10						

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **INF-1**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 11.5 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10'	Sampling Method(s) Grab	Compaction Method Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	



Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Test Pit No.: **INF-2**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Excavated: 9/16/2021	Logged By ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Excavation Method: Test Pit	Bucket Size: N/A	Total Depth of Excavation: 4 feet bgs
Excavator Type: Tracked Excavator	Excavating Contractor: NW Excavating	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	Compaction Method Bucket
Test Pit Backfill: Cuttings	Location 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	0			TPSL		10" topsoil	
				SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
				SP		Gray SAND with trace silt and gravel, medium dense, moist	
						Test pit terminated at 4'	
	5						
	10						



Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample Number	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS

- 1** Elevation (feet): Elevation (MSL, feet).
- 2** Depth (feet): Depth in feet below the ground surface.
- 3** Sample Type: Type of soil sample collected at the depth interval shown.
- 4** Sample Number: Sample identification number.
- 5** USCS Symbol: USCS symbol of the subsurface material.
- 6** Graphic Log: Graphic depiction of the subsurface material encountered.
- 7** MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Description of material encountered. May include consistency, moisture, color, and other descriptive text.
- 8** REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS: Comments and observations regarding drilling or sampling made by driller or field personnel.

FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST ABBREVIATIONS

- CHEM: Chemical tests to assess corrosivity
- COMP: Compaction test
- CONS: One-dimensional consolidation test
- LL: Liquid Limit, percent
- PI: Plasticity Index, percent
- SA: Sieve analysis (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)
- UC: Unconfined compressive strength test, Qu, in ksf
- WA: Wash sieve (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)

MATERIAL GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

-  Poorly graded GRAVEL (GP)
-  Silty SAND (SM)
-  Poorly graded SAND (SP)
-  Poorly graded SAND with Silt (SP-SM)
-  Topsoil

TYPICAL SAMPLER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

-  Auger sampler
-  Bulk Sample
-  3-inch-OD California w/ brass rings
-  CME Sampler
-  Grab Sample
-  2.5-inch-OD Modified California w/ brass liners

-  Pitcher Sample
-  2-inch-OD unlined split spoon (SPT)
-  Shelby Tube (Thin-walled, fixed head)

OTHER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

-  Water level (at time of drilling, ATD)
-  Water level (after waiting)
-  Minor change in material properties within a stratum
-  Inferred/gradational contact between strata
-  Queried contact between strata

GENERAL NOTES

- 1: Soil classifications are based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive, and actual lithologic changes may be gradual. Field descriptions may have been modified to reflect results of lab tests.
- 2: Descriptions on these logs apply only at the specific boring locations and at the time the borings were advanced. They are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**

Project Number: **2021-574-2**

Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Boring No.: **B-1**

Sheet 1 of 3

Date(s) Drilled: 9/23/2021	Logged By: ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Drilling Method(s): Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type: N/A	Total Depth of Borehole: 51.5 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Track Rig	Drilling Contractor: Bortec	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10'	Sampling Method(s): SPT	Hammer Data : 140 lb, 30" drop, rope and cathead
Borehole Backfill: Bentonite Chips	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	

Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	RQD (%)	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture (%)
0						TPSL		8" topsoil	
						SM		Brown silty SAND, loose, moist	
						SP		Gray SAND with trace silt and gravel, medium dense, moist	
	5		34					becomes dense	3
	10							Becomes medium dense, water bearing	9
	15		17			SW		Gray gravelly SAND with trace silt, medium dense, water bearing 3% fines	10
	20								



Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	RQD (%)	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture (%)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS

- 1** Elevation (feet): Elevation (MSL, feet).
- 2** Depth (feet): Depth in feet below the ground surface.
- 3** Sample Type: Type of soil sample collected at the depth interval shown.
- 4** Sampling Resistance, blows/ft: Number of blows to advance driven sampler one foot (or distance shown) beyond seating interval using the hammer identified on the boring log.
- 5** RQD (%): Rock Quality Designation is a relative index of the rock mass quality calculated by comparing the cumulative length of intact pieces of core exceeding 100 mm in length to the cored interval length.
- 6** Recovery (%): Core Recovery Percentage is determined based on a ratio of the length of core sample recovered compared to the cored interval length.
- 7** USCS Symbol: USCS symbol of the subsurface material.
- 8** Graphic Log: Graphic depiction of the subsurface material encountered.
- 9** MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Description of material encountered. May include consistency, moisture, color, and other descriptive text.
- 10** Moisture (%): Moisture, expressed as a water content.

FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST ABBREVIATIONS

- CHEM: Chemical tests to assess corrosivity
- COMP: Compaction test
- CONS: One-dimensional consolidation test
- LL: Liquid Limit, percent
- PI: Plasticity Index, percent
- SA: Sieve analysis (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)
- UC: Unconfined compressive strength test, Qu, in ksf
- WA: Wash sieve (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)

MATERIAL GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

- Silty SAND (SM)
- Poorly graded SAND (SP)
- Poorly graded SAND with Silt (SP-SM)
- Well graded SAND (SW)
- Well graded SAND with Silt (SW-SM)
- Topsoil

TYPICAL SAMPLER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

- Auger sampler
- Bulk Sample
- 3-inch-OD California w/ brass rings
- CME Sampler
- Grab Sample
- 2.5-inch-OD Modified California w/ brass liners

- Pitcher Sample
- 2-inch-OD unlined split spoon (SPT)
- Shelby Tube (Thin-walled, fixed head)

OTHER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

- Water level (at time of drilling, ATD)
- Water level (after waiting)
- Minor change in material properties within a stratum
- Inferred/gradational contact between strata
- Queried contact between strata

GENERAL NOTES


- 1: Soil classifications are based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive, and actual lithologic changes may be gradual. Field descriptions may have been modified to reflect results of lab tests.
- 2: Descriptions on these logs apply only at the specific boring locations and at the time the borings were advanced. They are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.

Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Boring No.: **MW-1**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Drilled: 9/23/2021	Logged By: ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Drilling Method(s): Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type: N/A	Total Depth of Borehole: 16.5 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Track Rig	Drilling Contractor: Bortec	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10.95'	Sampling Method(s): SPT	Hammer Data : 140 lb, 30" drop, rope and cathead
Borehole Backfill: Well Installed	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	




Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Boring No.: **MW-2**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Drilled: 9/24/2021	Logged By: ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Drilling Method(s): Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type: N/A	Total Depth of Borehole: 16.5 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Track Rig	Drilling Contractor: Bortec	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 10.50'	Sampling Method(s): SPT	Hammer Data : 140 lb, 30" drop, rope and cathead
Borehole Backfill: Well Installed	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	




Project Name: **Arlington Airport Business Park Development II**
 Project Number: **2021-574-2**
 Client: **SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC**



Boring No.: **MW-3**
 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Drilled: 9/24/2021	Logged By: ELW	Surface Conditions: Mixed Brush
Drilling Method(s): Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type: N/A	Total Depth of Borehole: 16.5 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Track Rig	Drilling Contractor: Bortec	Approximate Surface Elevation: N/A
Groundwater Level: 11.34'	Sampling Method(s): SPT	Hammer Data : 140 lb, 30" drop, rope and cathead
Borehole Backfill: Well Installed	Location: 51st Avenue Northeast & 43rd Avenue Northeast, Arlington, Washington	





Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample ID	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Well Log	Moisture (%)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1 Elevation (feet): Elevation (MSL, feet).</p> <p>2 Depth (feet): Depth in feet below the ground surface.</p> <p>3 Sample Type: Type of soil sample collected at the depth interval shown.</p> <p>4 Sample ID: Sample identification number.</p> <p>5 Sampling Resistance, blows/ft: Number of blows to advance driven sampler one foot (or distance shown) beyond seating interval using the hammer identified on the boring log.</p> <p>6 Recovery (%): Core Recovery Percentage is determined based on a ratio of the length of core sample recovered compared to the cored interval length.</p> | <p>7 USCS Symbol: USCS symbol of the subsurface material.</p> <p>8 Graphic Log: Graphic depiction of the subsurface material encountered.</p> <p>9 MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Description of material encountered. May include consistency, moisture, color, and other descriptive text.</p> <p>10 Well Log: Graphical representation of well installed upon completion of drilling and sampling.</p> <p>11 Moisture (%): Moisture, expressed as a water content.</p> |
|--|---|

FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST ABBREVIATIONS

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>CHEM: Chemical tests to assess corrosivity</p> <p>COMP: Compaction test</p> <p>CONS: One-dimensional consolidation test</p> <p>LL: Liquid Limit, percent</p> | <p>PI: Plasticity Index, percent</p> <p>SA: Sieve analysis (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)</p> <p>UC: Unconfined compressive strength test, Qu, in ksf</p> <p>WA: Wash sieve (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)</p> |
|---|--|

MATERIAL GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

- | | |
|--|--|
|  Bentonite chips |  Poorly graded SAND (SP) |
|  Portland Cement Concrete |  Poorly graded SAND with Silt (SP-SM) |
|  Silty SAND (SM) |  Topsoil |

TYPICAL SAMPLER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
|  Auger sampler |  CME Sampler |  Pitcher Sample |
|  Bulk Sample |  Grab Sample |  2-inch-OD unlined split spoon (SPT) |
|  3-inch-OD California w/ brass rings |  2.5-inch-OD Modified California w/ brass liners |  Shelby Tube (Thin-walled, fixed head) |

OTHER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS

- | |
|--|
|  Water level (at time of drilling, ATD) |
|  Water level (after waiting) |
|  Minor change in material properties within a stratum |
|  Inferred/gradational contact between strata |
|  Queried contact between strata |

GENERAL NOTES

- Soil classifications are based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive, and actual lithologic changes may be gradual. Field descriptions may have been modified to reflect results of lab tests.
- Descriptions on these logs apply only at the specific boring locations and at the time the borings were advanced. They are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.


GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	TP-1
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	6 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/20/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 755.4	Weight Of Sample (gm)	734.0
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 734.0	Tare Weight (gm)	16.0
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 16.0	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	718.0

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 21.4	SIEVE ANALYSIS	
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 718.0	Cumulative	
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 3	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)
		+Tare	{(wt ret/w6)*100}
			% PASS
			(100-%ret)

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	20.3	3.0"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	16.8	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	9.4	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	41.5	1.5"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F SAND	11.5	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	0.5	0.75"	162.0	146.00	20.33	79.67	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.35	0.375"	227.0	211.00	29.39	70.61	fine gravel
D30 (mm)	0.8	#4	282.6	266.60	37.13	62.87	coarse sand
D60 (mm)	3.8	#10	350.1	334.10	46.53	53.47	medium sand
Cu	10.9	#20					medium sand
Cc	0.5	#40	648.0	632.00	88.02	11.98	fine sand
		#60					fine sand
		#100	726.7	710.70	98.98	1.02	fine sand
		#200	730.4	714.40	99.50	0.50	finest
		PAN	734.0	718.00	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: Gravelly SAND
 USCS: SP

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	TP-4
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	1 foot
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/20/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 525.8	Weight Of Sample (gm)	510.8
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 510.8	Tare Weight (gm)	15.8
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.8	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	495.0

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 15.0	SIEVE ANALYSIS	
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 495.0	Cumulative	
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 3	Wt Ret +Tare	(Wt-Tare) (wt ret/w6)*100
		(%Retained)	% PASS (100-%ret)

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	37.1	3.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	7.8	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	3.5	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	27.5	1.5"	121.3	105.50	21.31	78.69	coarse gravel
% F SAND	20.9	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	3.2	0.75"	199.6	183.80	37.13	62.87	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.19	0.375"	222.9	207.10	41.84	58.16	fine gravel
D30 (mm)	0.6	#4	238.3	222.50	44.95	55.05	coarse sand
D60 (mm)	14	#10	255.6	239.80	48.44	51.56	medium sand
Cu	73.7	#20					medium sand
Cc	0.1	#40	391.9	376.10	75.98	24.02	fine sand
		#60					fine sand
		#100	483.0	467.20	94.38	5.62	fine sand
		#200	495.2	479.40	96.85	3.15	finest
		PAN	510.8	495.00	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: Gravelly SAND with trace silt
 USCS: SP

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	TP-6
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	3 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/20/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021


WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 878.7	Weight Of Sample (gm)	863.9
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 863.9	Tare Weight (gm)	15.8
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.8	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	848.1

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 14.8	SIEVE ANALYSIS		
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 848.1			
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 2			

% COBBLES	0.0
% C GRAVEL	22.7
% F GRAVEL	33.0
% C SAND	13.5
% M SAND	22.8
% F SAND	7.3
% FINES	0.8
% TOTAL	100.0

D10 (mm)	0.5
D30 (mm)	1.9
D60 (mm)	10
Cu	20.0
Cc	0.7

	Wt Ret +Tare	(Wt-Tare)	Cumulative (%Retained) (wt ret/w6)*100	% PASS (100-%ret)	
12.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
3.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
2.5"					coarse gravel
2.0"					coarse gravel
1.5"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
1.0"					coarse gravel
0.75"	208.2	192.40	22.69	77.31	fine gravel
0.50"					fine gravel
0.375"	364.3	348.50	41.09	58.91	fine gravel
#4	487.7	471.90	55.64	44.36	coarse sand
#10	601.8	586.00	69.10	30.90	medium sand
#20					medium sand
#40	794.9	779.10	91.86	8.14	fine sand
#60					fine sand
#100	851.3	835.50	98.51	1.49	fine sand
#200	857.1	841.30	99.20	0.80	finest
PAN	863.9	848.10	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: Sandy GRAVEL
 USCS: GP

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	TP-7
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	4 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/20/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 584.9	Weight Of Sample (gm)	573.9
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 573.9	Tare Weight (gm)	15.8
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.8	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	558.1

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 11.0	SIEVE ANALYSIS	
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 558.1	Cumulative	
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 2	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)
		+Tare	{(wt ret/w6)*100}
			% PASS (100-%ret)

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	10.2	3.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	4.0	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	1.4	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	34.9	1.5"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F SAND	48.7	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	0.9	0.75"	72.9	57.10	10.23	89.77	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.18	0.375"	89.6	73.80	13.22	86.78	fine gravel
D30 (mm)	0.28	#4	95.2	79.40	14.23	85.77	coarse sand
D60 (mm)	0.69	#10	102.8	87.00	15.59	84.41	medium sand
Cu	3.8	#20					medium sand
Cc	0.6	#40	297.3	281.50	50.44	49.56	fine sand
		#60					fine sand
		#100	562.2	546.40	97.90	2.10	fine sand
		#200	569.0	553.20	99.12	0.88	finer
		PAN	573.9	558.10	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: SAND with trace gravel
 USCS: SP

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	TP-7
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	7.5 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/20/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021


WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 891.0	Weight Of Sample (gm)	876.8
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 876.8	Tare Weight (gm)	16.0
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 16.0	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	860.8

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 14.2	SIEVE ANALYSIS		
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 860.8	Cumulative		
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 2	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained)

% COBBLES	0.0
% C GRAVEL	13.0
% F GRAVEL	31.5
% C SAND	11.8
% M SAND	29.9
% F SAND	12.3
% FINES	1.5
% TOTAL	100.0

D10 (mm)	0.3
D30 (mm)	1
D60 (mm)	6.1
Cu	20.3
Cc	0.5

	Wt Ret +Tare	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained) {(wt ret/w6)*100}	% PASS (100-%ret)	
12.0"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
3.0"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
2.5"					coarse gravel
2.0"					coarse gravel
1.5"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
1.0"					coarse gravel
0.75"	127.7	111.70	12.98	87.02	fine gravel
0.50"					fine gravel
0.375"	291.9	275.90	32.05	67.95	fine gravel
#4	398.8	382.80	44.47	55.53	coarse sand
#10	500.8	484.80	56.32	43.68	medium sand
#20					medium sand
#40	757.8	741.80	86.18	13.82	fine sand
#60					fine sand
#100	853.0	837.00	97.24	2.76	fine sand
#200	863.5	847.50	98.45	1.55	finest
PAN	876.8	860.80	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: Gravelly SAND with trace silt
 USCS: SP

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	INF #1
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	6.5 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/20/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 963.8	Weight Of Sample (gm)	914.4
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 914.4	Tare Weight (gm)	15.9
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.9	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	898.5

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 49.4	SIEVE ANALYSIS		
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 898.5	Cumulative		
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 5	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained)
		+Tare		{(wt ret/w6)*100}
				% PASS (100-%ret)

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	15.9	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	44.5	3.0"	15.9	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	15.1	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	5.9	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	27.7	1.5"	209.4	193.50	21.54	78.46	coarse gravel
% F SAND	5.8	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	1.0	0.75"	416.1	400.20	44.54	55.46	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
		0.375"	510.0	494.10	54.99	45.01	fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.5	#4	551.4	535.50	59.60	40.40	coarse sand
D30 (mm)	1.6	#10	604.0	588.10	65.45	34.55	medium sand
D60 (mm)	12	#20					medium sand
Cu	24.0	#40	853.0	837.10	93.17	6.83	fine sand
Cc	0.4	#60					fine sand
		#100	897.4	881.50	98.11	1.89	fine sand
		#200	905.2	889.30	98.98	1.02	finer
		PAN	914.4	898.50	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: Sandy GRAVEL with trace silt
 USCS: GP

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	B-1
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	15 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/23/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021


WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 555.2	Weight Of Sample (gm)	507.7
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 507.7	Tare Weight (gm)	16.0
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 16.0	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	491.7

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 47.5	SIEVE ANALYSIS		
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 491.7	Cumulative		
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 10	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained)

% COBBLES	0.0
% C GRAVEL	13.0
% F GRAVEL	20.0
% C SAND	24.0
% M SAND	29.1
% F SAND	10.7
% FINES	3.1
% TOTAL	100.0

D10 (mm)	0.27
D30 (mm)	1.1
D60 (mm)	3.9
Cu	14.4
Cc	1.1

	Wt Ret +Tare	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained) (wt ret/w6)*100	% PASS (100-%ret)	
12.0"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
3.0"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
2.5"					coarse gravel
2.0"					coarse gravel
1.5"	16.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
1.0"					coarse gravel
0.75"	79.9	63.90	13.00	87.00	fine gravel
0.50"					fine gravel
0.375"	108.5	92.50	18.81	81.19	fine gravel
#4	178.4	162.40	33.03	66.97	coarse sand
#10	296.6	280.60	57.07	42.93	medium sand
#20					medium sand
#40	439.5	423.50	86.13	13.87	fine sand
#60					fine sand
#100	485.7	469.70	95.53	4.47	fine sand
#200	492.3	476.30	96.87	3.13	finest
PAN	507.7	491.70	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: Gravelly SAND with trace silt
 USCS: SW

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	B-1
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	25 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/23/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 544.2	Weight Of Sample (gm)	469.3
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 469.3	Tare Weight (gm)	15.8
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.8	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	453.5

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 74.9	SIEVE ANALYSIS	
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 453.5	Cumulative	
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 17	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)
		+Tare	{(wt ret/w6)*100}
			% PASS (100-%ret)

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	0.0	3.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	2.2	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	1.9	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	48.1	1.5"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F SAND	41.4	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	6.4	0.75"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
		0.375"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.17	#4	25.7	9.90	2.18	97.82	coarse sand
D30 (mm)	0.27	#10	34.2	18.40	4.06	95.94	medium sand
D60 (mm)	0.62	#20					medium sand
Cu	3.6	#40	252.3	236.50	52.15	47.85	fine sand
Cc	0.7	#60					fine sand
		#100	422.8	407.00	89.75	10.25	fine sand
		#200	440.1	424.30	93.56	6.44	finer
		PAN	469.3	453.50	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: SAND with some silt
 USCS: SP-SM

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	B-1
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	35 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/23/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 562.3	Weight Of Sample (gm)	464.5
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 464.5	Tare Weight (gm)	15.8
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.8	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	448.7

Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 97.8	SIEVE ANALYSIS		
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 448.7	Cumulative		
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 22	Wt Ret	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained)

		Wt Ret +Tare	(Wt-Tare)	(%Retained) (wt ret/w6)*100	% PASS (100-%ret)		
% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	0.0	3.0"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	0.3	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	0.4	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	14.6	1.5"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F SAND	78.4	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	6.3	0.75"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.11	0.375"	15.8	0.00	0.00	100.00	fine gravel
D30 (mm)	0.19	#4	17.2	1.40	0.31	99.69	coarse sand
D60 (mm)	0.3	#10	18.9	3.10	0.69	99.31	medium sand
Cu	2.7	#20					medium sand
Cc	1.1	#40	84.5	68.70	15.31	84.69	fine sand
		#60					fine sand
		#100	406.7	390.90	87.12	12.88	fine sand
		#200	436.3	420.50	93.72	6.28	finer
		PAN	464.5	448.70	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION: SAND with some silt
 USCS: SW-SM

Prepared For: SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By: ELW




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT TITLE	Arlington Airport Business Park Development II	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	B-1
PROJECT NO.	2021-574-2	SAMPLE DEPTH	45 feet
TECH/TEST DATE	RT 9/23/2021	DATE RECEIVED	9/20/2021

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)		Total Weight Of Sample Used For Sieve Corrected For Hygroscopic Moisture	
Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm)	(w1) 593.1	Weight Of Sample (gm)	471.2
Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm)	(w2) 471.2	Tare Weight (gm)	15.7
Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3) 15.7	(w6) Total Dry Weight (gm)	455.5
Weight of Water (gm)	(w4=w1-w2) 121.9	SIEVE ANALYSIS	
Weight of Dry Soil (gm)	(w5=w2-w3) 455.5	Cumulative	
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100 27	Wt Ret +Tare	(Wt-Tare) (wt ret/w6)*100
		(%Retained)	% PASS (100-%ret)

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"	15.7	0.00	0.00	100.00	cobbles
% C GRAVEL	0.0	3.0"	15.7	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F GRAVEL	0.0	2.5"					coarse gravel
% C SAND	0.0	2.0"					coarse gravel
% M SAND	13.4	1.5"	15.7	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse gravel
% F SAND	80.0	1.0"					coarse gravel
% FINES	6.5	0.75"	15.7	0.00	0.00	100.00	fine gravel
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"					fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.1	0.375"	15.7	0.00	0.00	100.00	fine gravel
D30 (mm)	0.19	#4	15.7	0.00	0.00	100.00	coarse sand
D60 (mm)	0.29	#10	15.8	0.10	0.02	99.98	medium sand
Cu	2.9	#20					medium sand
Cc	1.2	#40	76.9	61.20	13.44	86.56	fine sand
		#60					fine sand
		#100	404.5	388.80	85.36	14.64	fine sand
		#200	441.5	425.80	93.48	6.52	finer
		PAN	471.2	455.50	100.00	0.00	silt/clay



DESCRIPTION SAND with some silt

USCS SW-SM

Prepared For:
 SMARTCAP CRE Value Fund 3, LLC

Reviewed By:
 ELW



APPENDIX B

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS

Liquefaction analysis was completed using the LiquefyPro software from CivilTech Software USA. Soil and groundwater conditions from Boring B-1 were used and the printouts are attached.

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS

Arlington Airport Business Park Development II

Hole No.=B-1 Water Depth=10 ft Surface Elev.=124

**Magnitude=7
Acceleration=0.45g**

