

SEPA¹ Environmental Checklist

Purpose of checklist

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization, or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. **You may use “not applicable” or “does not apply” only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown.** You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to **all parts of your proposal**, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for lead agencies

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B, plus the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions (Part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in “Part B: Environmental Elements” that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

¹ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/Checklist-guidance>

A. Background

[Find help answering background questions](#)²

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Atonement Church

2. Name of applicant:

Atonement Free Lutheran Church

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Atonement Free Lutheran Church

Joe Long

(360) 659-9444

6905 172 St NE

Arlington, WA 98223

4. Date checklist prepared:

October 30, 2024, Revised June 19, 2025 and September 19, 2025

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Arlington, WA

6. Proposed timing of schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Construction March 2026 – July 2026

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

This project proposed the repaving of the existing parking lot at this current time.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Geotechnical Report by GeoTest, dated May 2021

Wetlands and Critical areas report by Soundview Consultants, dated November, 2024

² <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-A-Background>

- 9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.**

No such applications are pending

- 10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.**

Civil Plan Review

- 11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)**

The proposed Atonement Church project is an approximately 8.78 acre site. The proposed project is a Residential – low capacity development on two parcels, #31052300301900 and #31052300300900 and addressed at 6905 172nd ST NE Arlington, WA. The proposed project will replace the existing gravel parking areas for the church with asphalt, curb and gutter as well as provide drainage and utility infrastructure for the site. The current site does not have any flow control or water quality treatment of runoff for existing facilities. Correspondence with the Department of Ecology has indicated their support of the upgrades to current system facilities with the treatment and flow control proposal. Public utilities will be upgraded during this project per request from the City of Arlington.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Address: 6905 172 St NE Arlington, WA 98223

Parcel Numbers: #31052300301900, #31052300300900

Legal Description: SEC 23 TWP 31 RGE 05 S 5 AC OF W 9 AC OF E1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 LY N OF CO RD LESS TR TO NP RR

The project site is bounded to the west by an apartment complex, wetlands to the north, undeveloped land to the east, and south by 172nd St NE.

B.Environmental Elements

1. Earth

[Find help answering earth questions](#)³

a. General description of the site:

The parcels are currently occupied by the church with several outbuildings to the southeast of the main church building. Existing ground cover is a combination of trees, grass, gravel and pavement.

Circle or highlight one: Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

17%. The wetland area to the north of the parking lot. This area will be left undisturbed.

³ <https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/sepa/environmental-review/sepa-guidance/sepa-checklist-guidance/sepa-checklist-section-b-environmental-elements/environmental-elements-earth>

- c. **What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them, and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.**

The majority of the soil onsite is sand with advance outwash deposits.

- d. **Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.**

There are no unstable soils in the immediate vicinity.

- e. **Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.**

Approximately 2500 CY of strippings will occur onsite with an additional 1,800 CY of cut and 1,200 CY of fill.

- f. **Could erosion occur because of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.**

Erosion is unlikely due to the non-steep nature of the site and soil structure of the project site and surrounding areas. Erosion control measures will be provided to eliminate erosion.

- g. **About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?**

The site will have approximately 26% impervious surfacing after construction of the site improvements.

- h. **Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any.**

Erosion control measures during construction may include stockpiling cleared vegetation, silt fencing, temporary sediment ponds, intercepting swales, berms, straw bales, plastic covers, or other standard controls.

2. Air

[Find help answering air questions](#)⁴

- a. **What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.**

Anticipated emissions consist of exhaust from equipment used to construct the site. While the actual quantities are unknown, the impact is expected to be minimal at a level consistent with other vehicles operating within the neighborhood.

⁴ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-Air>

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.**

No off-site sources of emissions or odor are anticipated.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:**

Construction is expected to be conducted during regular business hours, limiting the impact of emissions, odors, or noise to the time of day when many are away at work, or might expect reasonable construction activity.

3. Water

[Find help answering water questions⁵](#)

- a. Surface:**

[Find help answering surface water questions⁶](#)

- 1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.**

There are two wetlands on site that are designated Wetland A and Wetland B. They will be further described when the critical areas report has been received. Quilceda Creek is south of the property on the south side of 172st St NE.

- 2. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

The critical areas provided by Soundview Consultants provides additional detail as to buffer impacts and necessary restoration.

- 3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.**

No fill or dredge material is required.

- 4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give a general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

No surface water withdrawals or diversions are proposed. The current site does not have any flow control or water quality treatment of runoff for existing facilities. Correspondence with the Department of Ecology has indicated their support of the upgrades to current system facilities with the treatment and flow control proposal.

⁵ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-3-Water>

⁶ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-3-Water/Environmental-elements-Surface-water>

5. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

The proposed site is not within a 100-year floodplain.

6. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

The project will not require any discharges of waste materials to surface waters. The current site does not have any flow control or water quality treatment of runoff for existing facilities. Correspondence with the Department of Ecology has indicated their support of the upgrades to current system facilities with the treatment and flow control proposal.

b. Ground:

[Find help answering ground water questions](#)⁷

1. Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give a general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No groundwater will be withdrawn from a well. Water will be provided by the City of Arlington via a developer extension of the city's current water infrastructure.

2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste material will be discharged into the ground. Domestic sewage will drain to the City's sanitary sewer system. Onsite septic tanks and associated drainfields that serve site outbuildings will be decommissioned per Snohomish County Health Department requirements.

c. Water Runoff (including stormwater):

1. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Runoff on this site is primarily from rainfall on the site itself, no significant flow is expected from any adjacent property. The site's proposed hard surfaces are mitigated as required by the 2019 DOE stormwater regulations and include infiltration Best Management Practices to the extent feasible. Runoff from roofs, roads, driveways, and walkway surfaces will be captured via downspouts, tightlines, catch basins and pipe routed to onsite water quality and infiltration facilities for flow

⁷ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-3-Water/Environmental-elements-Groundwater>

mitigation and water quality treatment. Onsite stormwater is anticipated to either infiltrate 100% onsite or bypass detention and route to existing City of Arlington stormwater facilities within 172nd Ave St NE.

2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No waste materials are expected to enter surface or ground waters on this site. No illicit discharges are allowed or expected. Water quality treatment of detained stormwater runoff is provided prior to infiltration.

3. Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The proposal expects to maintain existing drainage patterns.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

This project is subject to storm water review under 2019 Department of Ecology Storm Water Manual for Western Washington. As such, stormwater LID BMPs are evaluated on the site and are provided where feasible and full infiltration of onsite stormwater runoff has been chosen as the onsite BMP. No drainage patterns are expected to change.

4. Plants

[Find help answering plants questions](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other**
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other**
- shrubs**
- grass**
- pasture**
- crop or grain**
- orchards, vineyards, or other permanent crops.**
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other**
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other**
- other types of vegetation**

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Forest vegetation is to be removed from the site to include: one significant deciduous tree, shrubs and miscellaneous undergrowth. The will be replaced at a 3:1 ratio as indicated in the landscape plans.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

No threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any.

There is no proposed landscaping for this project at this time.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

There are no known noxious weeds or invasive species on this site.

5. Animals

[Find help answering animal questions](#)⁸

a. List any birds and other animals that have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

- **Birds:** hawk, heron, eagle, **songbirds**, other:
- **Mammals:** **deer**, bear, elk, beaver, other: **squirrels**
- **Fish:** bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

There are no known threatened or endangered species on or near the site

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

All of western Washington is covered by the Pacific Flyway Migration Route. This is one of the four major North American migration routes for birds, especially waterfowl. It extends from Alaska and Canada to Mexico and South America.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.

At this point in time, there are no proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife included in the plans of this proposal.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

There are no known invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

⁸ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-5-Animals>

6. Energy and natural resources

[Find help answering energy and natural resource questions](#)⁹

- a. **What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.**

Electric services are used for lighting and heating on the site.

- b. **Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.**

No, the proposed development will not impact adjacent properties' potential use of solar energy.

- c. **What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.**

At this point in time, no proposed energy conservation features are included in this proposal's plans..

7. Environmental health

[Health Find help with answering environmental health questions](#)¹⁰

- a. **Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur because of this proposal? If so, describe.**

The existing septic tank and associated drainfield will be disposed of following the Snohomish County requirements.

1. **Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.**

There is an existing septic tank and associated drainfield that will be disposed of following Snohomish County requirements.

2. **Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.**

There are no known existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design.

3. **Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.**

⁹ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-6-Energy-natural-resou>

¹⁰ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-7-Environmental-health>

No toxic or hazardous chemicals will be stored, used, or produced during the project's development, construction, or life of operation.

4. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Other than normal police, emergency aid, and fire protection services, no special emergency services are anticipated.

5. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

There are currently no proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards.

b. Noise

1. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

This project would be impacted by minimal off-site noise from nearby housing developments and traffic on 172nd St NE.

2. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site)?

Noise levels would be intermittently high throughout construction but should be limited to normal waking hours. These construction-related sounds will come from large machinery and ground disturbing activities. In long term, there will be normal daily noise associated with

3. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

This project will adhere to City noise generation impact limits.

8. Land and shoreline use

[Find help answering land and shoreline use questions](#)¹¹

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The project is currently zoned residential – low capacity. The parcels to the north, south, east, and west are all zoned residential low capacity. The proposed project will not affect the current land use or adjacent properties of similar nature.

¹¹ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-8-Land-shoreline-use>

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses because of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?**

There has been no evidence that the site has been used for agriculture or working forest lands.

- 1. Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how?**

The proposal should not affect or be affected by surrounding working farms or forest land. Equipment access will be via 172nd St NE.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.**

There is a main church building, two outbuildings, a garage and a modular building along with a variety of smaller outbuildings such as sheds and carports.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?**

The modular building and carport will be demolished during the project along with some of the smaller shed outbuildings as necessary to accommodate site development.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?**

Residential – Low Capacity.

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?**

Low -Intensity Residential

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?**

There is no current shoreline master program designation on the site.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.**

No part of the site has been currently classified as a critical area by the City or County.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?**

Approximately 5-10 employees would work in the completed project.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?**

This project will not displace any people.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any.**

There are currently no measures proposed to avoid or reduce displacement impacts.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any.**

The proposed project is compatible with existing and projected land uses.

- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:**

The project is consistent with current use, and not expected to impact nearby agricultural and forest lands.

9. Housing

[Find help answering housing questions](#)¹²

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**

This project will not provide any housing.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**

This project will not provide any housing.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:**

This project will not provide any housing.

10. Aesthetics

[Find help answering aesthetics questions](#)¹³

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?**

At this time, only the expansion of the parking lot area is proposed.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?**

There are no views in the immediate vicinity that will be altered or obstructed because of the proposed development.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:**

Retention of as much native vegetation as practical during construction and provision of ornamental and native landscaping would reduce aesthetic impacts of the project. Native vegetation is proposed to be kept onsite in the northern portion of the site around the wetland.

¹² <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-9-Housing>

¹³ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-10-Aesthetics>

11. Light and glare

[Find help answering light and glare questions](#)¹⁴

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**

The proposal would produce light from the automobile headlights primarily at night.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

Light from the finished project should not be a safety hazard or interfere with views.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?**

Light from the residential developments from the north and west and automobile traffic on 172nd St NE will likely be the only light or glare that could potentially impact the proposed development.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:**

No special proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts are proposed nor are they expected to be necessary.

12. Recreation

[Find help answering recreation questions](#)

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?**

Westwood Park is approximately 3000' away from the project. The Glen Eagle Golf Course is approximately 3500' away from the project.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.**

The project will not displace any existing recreational uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:**

There are no proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreational opportunities, as the project will not displace and existing recreational uses.

¹⁴ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-11-Light-glare>

13. Historic and cultural preservation

[Find help answering historic and cultural preservation questions](#)¹⁵

- a. **Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.**

In Washington State's Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation's WAISAARD Online GIS map there were no buildings, structures, or sites eligible for listing in the national, state or local preservation register.

- b. **Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.**

There are no known landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use on site.

- c. **Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.**

The Washington Information System for Architectural and Archeological Records Data (WISAARD) online database system was queried showing no records pertaining to the proposed site or in the vicinity of the site.

- d. **Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.**

There are no indications of historic or cultural resources on or near the site.

14. Transportation

[Find help with answering transportation questions](#)¹⁶

- a. **Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.**

This project will be accessed from 172nd St N via direct access.

- b. **Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**

The site is not currently served by public transit. The closest public transit is 1.5 miles away at the 51st Ave & 164th St NE bus stop.

¹⁵ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-13-Historic-cultural-p>

¹⁶ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-B-Environmental-elements/Environmental-elements-14-Transportation>

- c. **Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle, or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).**

Frontage improvements to 172nd St NE will occur in the immediate vicinity of the site.

- d. **Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

The project will not use or occur in the immediate vicinity of air, water or rail transportation.

- e. **How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?**

The proposed parking lot expansion would not generate additional vehicle trips by itself.

- f. **Will the proposal interfere with, affect, or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.**

No, the project will not provide any interference because the site is already in use as a church.

- g. **Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:**

No measures to reduce transportation impacts are necessary.

15. Public services

[Find help answering public service questions¹⁷](#)

- a. **Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.**

It is anticipated that current services are sufficient to serve our project with little impact to the community.

- b. **Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.**

No measures are proposed as the project will cause minimal impact on services.

16. Utilities

[Find help answering utilities questions¹⁸](#)

- a. **Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other:**

¹⁷ <https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/sepa/environmental-review/sepa-guidance/sepa-checklist-guidance/sepa-checklist-section-b-environmental-elements/environmental-elements-15-public-services>

¹⁸ <https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/sepa/environmental-review/sepa-guidance/sepa-checklist-guidance/sepa-checklist-section-b-environmental-elements/environmental-elements-16-utilities>

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

City of Arlington will provide water and sewer through extensions of the City's current infrastructure.

C. Signature

[Find help about who should sign](#)¹⁹

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

X



Type name of signee: Tom Abbott

Position and agency/organization: Principal Civil Engineer (Agent for Applicant)

Date submitted: 9/19/2025

¹⁹ <https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/SEPA/Environmental-review/SEPA-guidance/SEPA-checklist-guidance/SEPA-Checklist-Section-C-Signature>