

From: [Amy Rusko](#)
To: [saga04](#)
Bcc: [Kristin Foster](#); [Hannah Hardwick](#)
Subject: RE: Comments on 18520 67th Ave hot dip proposal
Date: Monday, June 24, 2024 11:30:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Land Use Request Administrative or HE Application Packet 2.pdf](#)
[20240614_PLN1145_SeattleGalvanizingSmallPart_NOPH.pdf](#)

Gary,

Thank you for your comments and concerns regarding the Seattle Galvanizing Small Parts and Storage Special Use Permit. You are now considered a Party of Record for the project and your comments will be included in the Hearing Examiner staff report and sent as an exhibit to the Hearing Examiner for the public hearing. The staff report and hearing exhibits will be completed and posted on Wednesday, June 26, 2024.

All documents related to the project are currently viewable on the city's public notice webpage: <https://www.arlingtonwa.gov/310/Public-Notices-Hearings>. Once on the page you will need to scroll down until you see the Seattle Galvanizing Small Parts and Storage project.

If you would like to appeal the SEPA MDNS Decision that was issued on June 11, 2024 please provide the following per Arlington Municipal Code Chapter 20.20 Appeals, Variances, Interpretations:

AMC 20.20.010 (condensed version):

1. Appeal Application (attached to email)
2. Written notice of appeal, specifying the grounds and arguments therefore
3. Documents delivered to the community and economic development department (ced@arlingtonwa.gov or in person at 18204 59th Avenue NE)
4. Appeal fee as set by resolution paid (\$600.00 per Resolution No. 2024-003)
5. Appeal must be filed by the close of the 14-day SEPA MDNS public comment period (June 25, 2024 at 5:00 pm)

If you do submit an Appeal of the SEPA MDNS Decision, the public hearing for the appeal is required to be heard concurrently with the Public Hearing that is already scheduled for July 9, 2024. Public Hearing Notice is attached and on the city's webpage.

Link to Arlington Municipal Code – Title 20 Zoning:

https://library.municode.com/wa/arlington/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT20ZO

Link to Land Use Applications and Fees: <https://www.arlingtonwa.gov/269/Applications-Forms>.

Sincerely,

Amy Rusko



Amy Rusko | Planning Manager

Phone: 360-403-3550

Email: arusko@arlingtonwa.gov

Website: www.arlingtonwa.gov

18204 59th Ave NE, Arlington, WA 98223

From: saga04 <saga04@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, June 23, 2024 4:31 PM
To: Amy Rusko <arusko@arlingtonwa.gov>
Subject: Comments on 18520 67th Ave hot dip proposal

This message is from an External Sender

This message came from outside the City of Arlington

After reviewing the SEPA MDNS I have a few questions and concerns.

Sect B2 air

Is it reasonable to believe that a 45 year old building really has the structural ability to contain toxic air pollutants at the level needed to comply with the strict Puget Sound Clean Air requirements?

There is no mention of needed measures that will ensure personnel inside the facility will be safe.

Safeguards are only mentioned outside the proposal.

Sect 14f Transportation

By city staff's own admission: "The number of employee's and hours of operation have been listed inconsistently throughout the permit document."

Decision makers need a complete and accurate accurate SEPA document before they make a informed decision....not shoe horned in a year later.

The proponents statement that they forecast only 5 PM peak hour trips per day is troubling to me.

If the proponents only get 5 trips per day they probably won't be in business very long. I realize that traffic mitigation fees are based on daily trips, however to keep this number artificially low

in order to keep mitigation fees low is unacceptable.

I have 2 suggestions:

1. Have city staff contact other cities that have handled similar proposals. Ask them if there were any unforeseen consequences that did not show up after normal SEPA guidelines were followed, and if so, how they were dealt with.
2. Should this proposal be required to post a bond that will protect the proponent, the city, the residents of Arlington if unforeseen consequences are a result of this project?

For the above mentioned reasons, please accept this email as a notice of appeal of MDNS dated 6-11-24.

Respectfully

Gary Gibbs
18227 Greywalls Dr
Arlington Wa 98223
425 231 8172

Sent from my Galaxy

From: [Don Vanney](#)
To: [Amy Rusko](#)
Subject: Fwd: Comments on 18520 67th Ave hot dip proposal
Date: Monday, June 24, 2024 1:39:59 PM

Not sure if you got this one, must just in case!

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: saga04 <saga04@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, June 23, 2024 4:50:30 PM
To: Don Vanney <donv@arlingtonwa.gov>
Subject: FW: Comments on 18520 67th Ave hot dip proposal

This message is from an External Sender

This message came from outside the City of Arlington

Sent from my Galaxy

----- Original message -----

From: saga04 <saga04@comcast.net>
Date: 6/23/24 4:43 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: coa_council@arlingtonwa.gov
Subject: FW: Comments on 18520 67th Ave hot dip proposal

Sent from my Galaxy

----- Original message -----

From: saga04 <saga04@comcast.net>
Date: 6/23/24 4:30 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: arusko@arlingtonwa.gov
Subject: Comments on 18520 67th Ave hot dip proposal

After reviewing the SEPA MDNS I have a few questions and concerns.

Sect B2 air

Is it reasonable to believe that a 45 year old building really has the structural ability to contain toxic air pollutants at the level needed to comply with the strict Puget Sound Clean Air

requirements?

There is no mention of needed measures that will ensure personnel inside the facility will be safe.

Safeguards are only mentioned outside the proposal.

Sect 14f Transportation

By city staff's own admission: "The number of employee's and hours of operation have been listed inconsistently throughout the permit document."

Decision makers need a complete and accurate accurate SEPA document before they make a informed decision....not shoe horned in a year later.

The proponents statement that they forecast only 5 PM peak hour trips per day is troubling to me.

If the proponents only get 5 trips per day they probably won't be in business very long. I realize that traffic mitigation fees are based on daily trips, however to keep this number artificially low in order to keep mitigation fees low is unacceptable.

I have 2 suggestions:

1. Have city staff contact other cities that have handled similar proposals. Ask them if there were any unforeseen consequences that did not show up after normal SEPA guidelines were followed, and if so, how they were dealt with.
2. Should this proposal be required to post a bond that will protect the proponent, the city, the residents of Arlington if unforeseen consequences are a result of this project?

For the above mentioned reasons, please accept this email as a notice of appeal of MDNS dated 6-11-24.

Respectfully

Gary Gibbs
18227 Greywalls Dr
Arlington Wa 98223
425 231 8172

Sent from my Galaxy